Original Article

Onset of action in placebo-controlled migraine attacks trials: A literature review and recommendation

Cephalalgia 2021, Vol. 41(2) 148-155 © International Headache Society 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0333102420956916 journals.sagepub.com/home/cep

International Headache Society

(S)SAGE

Cephalalgia

Peer Tfelt-Hansen¹ and Hans-Christoph Diener²

Abstract

Background: Migraine patients want acute treatment to provide complete relief of the migraine attack within 30 minutes. Traditionally, "speed of onset of effect" is evaluated by estimating the time-point for first statistical separation of drug and placebo. The estimated onset of effect can be a few percent difference of patients being pain free in very large randomised, controlled trials. This difference, however, can be clinically irrelevant.

Methods: Placebo-controlled randomised, controlled trials with pain freedom results from 30 min to 2-4 hours were retrieved from the literature. For each time-point, the therapeutic gain (drug minus placebo) (TG) was calculated. Therapeutic gain for being pain free of 5% was chosen for the definition of "onset of action", since this is approximately 1/3 of the 16% TG and 1/4 of 21% of TG for sumatriptan 50 mg and 100 mg, respectively.

Results: A total of 22 time-effect curves based on randomised, controlled trials were analysed. Based on the "onset of action" of 5% pain freedom, the evaluated drugs and administration forms can be classified as follows: i) Early time to onset, \leq 30 min (three randomised, controlled trials); ii) medium time to onset, 60 min (nine randomised, controlled trials); iii) delayed time to onset, 90–120 min (10 randomised, controlled trials).

Conclusion: Only three non-oral administration forms with a triptan (subcutaneous sumatriptan and nasal zolmitriptan) resulted in an "onset of action" at \geq 30 min; in the future, early onset of action should be a priority in the development of new drugs or new administration-forms for the treatment of acute migraine attacks.

Keywords

Migraine, clinical trials, onset of action, triptans, lasmiditan, gepants

Date received: 13 April 2020; revised: 8 July 2020; accepted: 13 August 2020

Introduction

For the last two decades, the primary efficacy parameter in randomised, controlled trials comparing drugs for the treatment of migraine attacks with placebo has been pain freedom at 2 hours (1-3). Previously, it was headache relief (a decrease of headache from moderate or severe to none or mild) after 2 hours (4). The 2-hour time-point was chosen as the approximate time for maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) of most triptans in humans (5) and as a clinically meaningful early outcome.

The 2-hour pain freedom has been the standard parameter in placebo-controlled and comparative acute drug randomised, controlled trials, and in systematic reviews (4,6,7), meta-analysis (8), and Cochrane Reviews (9,10).

The patients, however, want early, complete relief of all migraine symptoms, without recurrence and without

adverse events (11-13). In two studies, the patients chose complete relief of migraine as early as 30 minutes, no adverse events, and no recurrence as their major priorities (14,15). Accordingly, one of the attributes of an optimal agent for the treatment of migraine attacks was described in 2007 as "an almost immediate onset of action" (16). The speed of onset of the

¹Danish Headache Center, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Glostrup, Denmark

²Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty of the University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

Corresponding author:

Peer Tfelt-Hansen, Danish Headache Center, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup Hospital, Glostrup 2650, Glostrup, Denmark. Email: d036218@dadInet.dk

treatment effect on migraine attacks has, however, only been evaluated in few randomised, controlled trials (e.g. 17,18), in one pharmacokinetic study (19), and systematic reviews (4,20,21). Traditionally, "speed of onset of effect" has been investigated by evaluating early statistically significant differences of pain freedom (or headache response) of active drug versus placebo. Alternatively, in a few comparative RCTs of two drugs, time-to-events analysis (22,23) has been used to evaluate which of the drugs resulted in an earlier effect within 2 hours (24,25).

The estimation of the onset of effect as the first timepoint of a statistically significant difference can in our view sometimes be misleading from a clinical point of view. This addresses the issue of statistical, significant differences versus clinically relevant differences. In an abstract of a large randomised, controlled trial comparing intranasal zolmitriptan 5 mg (n = 935) and placebo (n = 933) for speed of onset it was stated: "Significantly higher pain-free rates were obtained with zolmitriptan nasal spray, compared with placebo, from 15 minutes post dose onward (p < 0.005)" (17). The therapeutic gain (verum minus placebo) for pain free at 15 min was (zolmitriptan 1.4% minus placebo 0.4%) 1.0% (95% CI: 0.1–2%) (17). This statistically significant difference is only due to the large number of patients (n = 1868). A 1% absolute difference for pain freedom between zolmitriptan and placebo is, however, clinically irrelevant.

The time-to-event analysis can preferably be used to compare the time-effect curves of two active drugs. A hazard ratio for drug A being more likely than drug B to result in an effect in the next few minutes can be calculated; for example, 62% for rizatriptan 10 mg versus naratriptan 2.5 mg (23,25). This time-toevent analysis gives no information on the onset of effect. Primarily, it was a concept for analysing triptans with more or less fast absorption. The calculation of the hazard ratio also depends on the final clinical response after 2 hours (23).

Currently, there is no consensus on how to evaluate the "onset of action or the speed of response", taking also the clinical relevance of the response of onset of action into account.

The aim of this review is to provide a basis for such a consensus by reviewing the early part of the time-effect curves for the pain freedom response after oral, and in a few cases non-oral, administration of triptans, lasmiditan (a 5-HT_{1F} receptor agonist), and the oral CGRP receptor antagonists telcagepant, rimegepant and ubrogepant. Based on the experience gain from this review we suggest an, in our view, clinically relevant therapeutic gain that should determine the time-point at which a clinically relevant onset of action is present in migraine attack trials. In addition, the aim is also to provide the

clinician with an easy-to-remember figure for onset-ofeffect that can be used when they judge controlled clinical trials of migraine attack treatment. We propose a 5% therapeutic gain for pain free as the cut off value for defining a clinically relevant onset of the treatment of migraine attacks in randomised, controlled trials.

Methods

We hand-searched the literature for placebo-controlled RCTs in which moderate to severe migraine headaches were treated with triptans, lasmiditan, telcagepant, rimegepant and ubrogepant for pain freedom results prior to and after 2 and 4 hours. Reference lists in the following references were searched (4–6,8–10,16,26,27). In a few cases, we found more extensive information on pain free results in the GSK Trial Registry (28).

Oral sumatriptan 50 mg or 100 mg are standard doses for the treatment of migraine attacks (5,8). After administration of sumatriptan 50 mg 100 mg, the therapeutic gains (active drug minus placebo) for pain freedom at 2 hours are 16% and 21%, respectively (9). We applied a cut-off difference for a relevant difference as a therapeutic gain of 5% for pain freedom. This value is approximately 1/3 of the therapeutic gain of sumatriptan 50 mg (16%) and 1/4 of the therapeutic gain of sumatriptan 100 mg (21%).

For each time-point from 10–20 min, 30 min, 60 min, 90 min, 2 h, and 4 h, the therapeutic gain (therapeutic gain in percentage) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was calculated (29) in order to construct the time-effect-curves for pain freedom. Only statistically significant differences, regardless of relevance, are shown in Table 1. We used large single placebo-controlled randomised, controlled trials data from systemic reviews and meta-analyses.

In the few cases in which the results for RCTs in which two doses of the same active drug were investigated, the time-to-onset of action of these doses were compared.

Results

The time-to-onset of action, defined as a therapeutic gain $\geq 5\%$ for pain freedom in 22 dose-response curves, are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg has the earliest therapeutic gain $\geq 5\%$ (8%) after 20 min in one randomised, controlled trial (30). For intranasal zolmitriptan 5 mg, the therapeutic gains were $\geq 5\%$ after 30 min in two randomised, controlled trials (both 5%) (17,18), whereas in one randomised, controlled trial with intranasal zolmitriptan the therapeutic gain was $\geq 5\%$ (12%) after 60 min (31). For intranasal sumatriptan 20 mg,

| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Intranasal zolmitriptan and sumatriptan. | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Drug and dose (Ref) | Number of patients | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, 2 h |
| | | | 10–20 min | 30 min | 60 min | 90 min | |
| | Zolmitriptan (Z) 5 mg (31) | Z (n = 464) | | 4% (2–8%) | 12% (7–16%) | | 27% (21–32%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | PI (n = 451) | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Zolmitriptan (Z) 5 mg (17) | Z (n = 935) | I5 min: | 5% (3–8%) | 13% (10–17%) | | 22% (18–26%) |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | PI (n = 933) | TG = 1% (0.1–2%) | | | | |
| | Zolmitriptan (Z) 5 mg (18) | Z (n = 235) | | 5% (1–9%) | 18% (12–24%) | | 30% (23–37%) |
| | | Pl $(n = 226)$ | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Sumatriptan (S) 20 mg (9) | S (n = 891) | | | 10% (5–14%) ^b | | 21% (17–25%) |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | PI (n = 488) | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Optinose nasal sumatriptan (OS) | OS (n = 108) | | | | | I 7% (5–28%) |
| | 22 mg (40) | PI (n = 104) | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Oral and subcutaneous sumatriptan. | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Almotriptan (A) 12.5 mg (20) | A (n =721) | | 2% (0.2–3%) | 5% (2–8%) | 14% (10–18%) | 21% (16–26%) |
| Rizatripan (RZ) 10 mg (6) RZ (n = 2046) 2% (0.1–2%) 9% (7–11%) 19% (17–21%) 31% (28–34%) Flerripan (E) 40 mg (32.33) E (n = 726) 2% (0.1–2%) 9% (7–11%) 19% (17–21%) 31% (28–34%) Elerriptan (E) 40 mg (32.33) E (n = 726) 2% (0.1–2%) 9% (7–11%) 19% (17–21%) 31% (28–34%) Sumatriptan (S) 50 mg (9) S (n = 322) ⁵ 3% (1–5%) 6% (4–8%) 2.2% (1–26%) 16% (14–18%) Subc. suma-triptan (S) S (n = 322) ⁵ 3% (1–5%) 6% (4–7%) 3% (1–5%) 21% (20–24%) Subc. suma-triptan (S) S (n = 407) ⁵ S (n = 254) ⁵ 3% (1–5%) 3% (1–5%) 21% (20–24%) Subc. suma-triptan (S) S (n = 734) 30 min 60 min 6% (4–7%) 21% (12–18%) 3% (1–5%) Subc. suma-triptan (S) S (n = 734) 30 min 60 min 6% (1–18%) 39% (34–4%) 21% (12–26%) Colmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (30) S (n = 241) 30 min 60 min 6% (1–16%) 7% (2–11%) 7% (2–11%) 7% (2–14%) Constriptan (N) 2.5 mg (41–43) F (n = 249) N (n = 249) N (n = 249) N (n = 249) N (n = 240) 7% (| | PI $(n = 355)$ | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Rizatriptan (RZ)10 mg (6) | RZ (n = 2046) | | 2% (0.1–2%) | 6 % (7–11%) | 19% (17–21%) | 31% (28–34%) |
| Electriptan (E) 40 mg (32.33)E (n = 726)6% (4-8%)2.2% (1-26%)Sumatriptan (S) 50 mg (9)S (n = 3922)^c3% (1-5%)16% (14-18%)Sumatriptan (S)N (n = 2255)^c3% (1-5%)16% (14-18%)Sumatriptan (S)S (n = 3922)^c3% (1-5%)21% (20-24%)Sumatriptan (S)S (n = 734)20 min6% (4-7%)21% (20-24%)Subc. suma-triptan (S)S (n = 734)20 min30 min60 minSubc. suma-triptan (S)S (n = 734)20 min30 min60 minSubc. suma-triptan (S)S (n = 734)20 min30 min60 minSubc. suma-triptan (S)S (n = 734)20 min60 minSubc. suma-triptan (S)P (n = 370)8% (5-10%)15% (12-18%)39% (34 - 44%)Colmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34)P (n = 241)5% (1-9%)7% (1-9%)20% (14-26%)Maratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25.39)N (n = 340)7% (2-11%)9% (2-14%)9% (2-14%)Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41-43)F (n = 670)7% (4-10%)7% (4-10%)Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41-43)F (n = 670)7% (4-10%)7% (4-10%) | | PI (n = 1249) | | | | | |
| Sumatriptan (5) 50 mg (9) $r_1 (n = 2.2.4)$ (n = 3252)^c $3\% (1-5\%)$ $16\% (14-18\%)$ Sumatriptan (5) 100 mg (9) $7 (n = 3922)^c$ (n = 2554)^c $3\% (1-5\%)$ $16\% (14-18\%)$ Sumatriptan (5) 100 mg (9) $7 (n = 2554)^c$ (n = 2554)^c $21\% (20-24\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $8 (n = 734)$ $20 min$ $6\% (4-7\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $8 (n = 734)$ $20 min$ $6\% (4-7\%)$ $21\% (15-26\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $7 (n = 270)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $33\% (34-44\%)$ Subritriptan (2) 2.5 mg (34) $2 (n = 475)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $33\% (34-44\%)$ Zolmitriptan (2) 5 mg (34) $2 (n = 475)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $20\% (14-26\%)$ Naratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25.39)N (n = 241) $7\% (2-11\%)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $9\% (2-14\%)$ Frovatriptan (N) 2.5 mg (21-43) $F (n = 670)$ $F (n = 670)$ $F (n = 670)$ $F (n = 670)$ | Eletriptan (E) 40 mg (32,33) | E(n = 726) | | | 6 % (4–8%) | | 22% (I–26%) |
| Sumatriptan (5) $0mg (9)$ $5 (n = 372)^{6}$ $3\% (1-5\%)$ $16\% (14-18\%)$ Sumatriptan (5) $100 mg (9)$ $5 (n = 4017)^{c}$ $6\% (4-7\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $5 (n = 4017)^{c}$ $6\% (4-7\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $5 (n = 734)$ $20 min$ $60 min$ $6 mg (30)$ $P (n = 2554)^{c}$ $30 min$ $60 min$ $5 mg (30)$ $P (n = 370)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $39\% (34-44\%)$ $5 mg (30)$ $P (n = 370)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $21\% (1-2\%)$ $2 limitriptan (2) 2.5 mg (34)$ $2 (n = 475)$ $2 (n = 475)$ $2 (n = 475)$ $2 limitriptan (2) 2.5 mg (34)$ $2 (n = 475)$ $7\% (1-28\%)$ $2 (3, -14\%)$ $7 natriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25.39)$ $N (n = 340)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $9\% (1-11\%)$ $7 natriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25.39)$ $N (n = 340)$ $7\% (1-26\%)$ $7\% (1-2\%)$ $7 (n = 209)$ $P (n = 209)$ $P (n = 670)$ $7\% (1-11\%)$ $7\% (1-11\%)$ $7 (n = 426)$ $P (n = 670)$ $P (n = 426)$ $7\% (1-10\%)$ $7\% (1-10\%)$ | | h = 100000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | | |
| Sumatriptan (5) 100 mg (9)Sumatriptan (5) 100 mg (9)Sumatriptan (5) $(n = 2554)^{\circ}$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $(n = 2554)^{\circ}$ 20 min $6\% (4-7\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $S (n = 734)$ 20 min $6\% (1-9\%)$ $21\% (15-26\%)$ 6 mg (30) $Z (n = 775)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $39\% (34-44\%)$ $21\% (15-26\%)$ 7 matriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34) $Z (n = 475)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $5\% (1-9\%)$ $21\% (15-26\%)$ 7 matriptan (Z) 5.mg (34) $Z (n = 241)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $9\% (1-26\%)$ Naratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25.39)N (n = 340) $7\% (2-11\%)$ $9\% (2-14\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41-43) $F (n = 209)$ $F (n = 209)$ $F (n = 670)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ | Sumatriptan (S) 50 mg (9) | $S (n = 3922)^{c}$ | | | 3% (1–5%) | | 16% (14–18%) |
| Sumatriptan (5) 100 mg (7) $5 (n = 401/)^{7}$ $6\% (4-1\%)$ $21\% (20-24\%)$ Subc. suma-triptan (5) $5 (n = 2554)^{c}$ 20 min 60 min Subc. suma-triptan (5) $5 (n = 734)$ 20 min 60 min Subc. suma-triptan (5) $7 = 734$ 30 min 60 min $5 \text{ mg} (30)$ $7 = 475$ 20 min $5\% (1-9\%)$ $39\% (34-44\%)$ $2 \text{ olmitriptan} (2) 2.5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $7 = 475$ $2\% (1-18\%)$ $39\% (34-44\%)$ $2 \text{ olmitriptan} (2) 2.5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $7 = 475$ $7\% (1-216\%)$ $21\% (15-26\%)$ $2 \text{ olmitriptan} (2) 5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $7 = 475$ $7\% (1-9\%)$ $7\% (1-9\%)$ $2 \text{ olmitriptan} (2) 5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $7 = 475$ $7\% (1-9\%)$ $7\% (1-1\%)$ $2 \text{ olmitriptan} (2) 5 \text{ mg} (25.39)$ $N (n = 245)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $9\% (1-11\%)$ $9\% (1-10\%)$ $7\% (1-11\%)$ $7\% (1-11\%)$ $9\% (2-14\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ | | (c7c7 = u) IA | | | | | |
| Subc. suma-triptan (5) $\Gamma_1(n = 2534)$ 20 min 60 min 6 mg (30) $S (n = 734)$ 20 min $39\% (34 - 44\%)$ 6 mg (30) $S (n = 734)$ 20 min $39\% (34 - 44\%)$ $Z \text{ olmiriptan } (Z) 2.5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $P (n = 370)$ $8\% (5-10\%)$ $15\% (12-18\%)$ $39\% (34 - 44\%)$ $Z \text{ olmiriptan } (Z) 2.5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $P (n = 241)$ $2(n = 475)$ $21\% (1-9\%)$ $21\% (1-26\%)$ $Z \text{ olmiriptan } (Z) 5 \text{ mg} (34)$ $P (n = 241)$ $7\% (2-11\%)$ $7\% (1-9\%)$ $20\% (14-26\%)$ $N \text{ rartiptan } (N) 2.5 \text{ mg} (25.39)$ $N (n = 340)$ $N (n = 340)$ $6\% (1-11\%)$ $9\% (2-14\%)$ $Frovartiptan (F) 2.5 \text{ mg} (41-43)$ $F (n = 670)$ $P (n = 245)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ $7\% (4-10\%)$ | Sumatriptan (S) 100 mg (9) | $S (n = 401/)^{2}$ | | | 6 % (4–7%) | | 21% (20–24%) |
| Subsc. suma-triptan (s) $5 (n = /34)$ 20 min 60 min 6 mg (30) $P (n = 370)$ 8% (5-10%) 15% (12-18%) 39% (34-44%)2 clmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34) $Z (n = 475)$ 8% (5-10%) 5% (1-9%) 21% (15-26%)2 clmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34) $P (n = 241)$ 7% (2-11%) 7% (2-11%) 20% (14-26%)Naratriptan (Z) 5 mg (25,39)N (n = 340) 7% (2-11%) 7% (2-11%) 9% (2-14%)Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41-43) $F (n = 209)$ $F (n = 20)$ $P (n = 209)$ $P (n = 209)$ P (n = 426) $P (n = 426)$ $P (n = 426)$ 7% (4-10%) | (| $PI (n = 2554)^2$ | | | | | |
| 6 mg (30)7 (n = 370)8% (5-10%)15% (12-18%)39% (34-44%)2 lmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34)Z (n = 475)5% (1-9%)5% (1-9%)21% (15-26%)2 lmitriptan (Z) 3(4)P (n = 241)7% (2-11%)7% (2-11%)20% (14-26%)2 lmitriptan (X) 2.5 mg (25,39)N (n = 340)7% (2-11%)6% (1-11%)9% (2-14%)Frovatriptan (N) 2.5 mg (41-43)F (n = 209)1 (n = 209)1 (n = 426)7% (4-10%)P (n = 209)P (n = 426)P (n = 426)7% (4-10%)1 (n = 209) | Subc. suma-triptan (S) | 5 (n = /34) | 20 min | 30 min | 60 min | | |
| Zolmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34)Z (n = 475)5% (1-9%)21% (15-26%)Pl (n = 241)Pl (n = 241)7% (2-11%)20% (14-26%)Zolmitriptan (Z) 5 mg (34)Pl (n = 245)7% (2-11%)20% (14-26%)Pl (n = 245)N (n = 340)N (n = 340)9% (2-14%)9% (2-14%)Frovatriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25,39)Pl (n = 209)10 (n = 209)10 (n = 209)17% (4-10%)Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41-43)Pl (n = 426)Pl (n = 426)17% (4-10%) | 6 mg (30) | PI $(n = 3/0)$ | 8% (5–10%) | 15% (12–18%) | 39% (34–44%) | | |
| | Zolmitriptan (Z) 2.5 mg (34) | Z $(n = 475)$ | | | 5% (1–9%) | | 21% (15–26%) |
| | | PI $(n = 241)$ | | | | | |
| Pl (n = 245)Pl (n = 245)Naratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25,39)N (n = 340)Pl (n = 209)Pl (n = 209)F (n = 209)F (n = 670)Pl (n = 426)Pl (n = 426) | Zolmitriptan (Z) 5 mg (34) | Z (n = 475) | | | 7% (2–11%) | | 20% (14–26%) |
| Naratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25,39)N (n = 340)9% (2-14%)PI (n = 209)PI (n = 209)F (n = 670)PI (n = 670)PI (n = 426)PI (n = 426) | | PI $(n = 245)$ | | | | | |
| PI (n = 209) Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41–43) F (n = 670) PI (n = 426) | Naratriptan (N) 2.5 mg (25,39) | N (n = 340) | | | | 6% (I-I1%) | 9% (2–14%) |
| Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41–43) F (n = 670) PI (n = 426) | | PI $(n = 209)$ | | | | | |
| PI $(n = 426)$ | Frovatriptan (F) 2.5 mg (41–43) | F (n = 670) | | | | | 7% (4–10%) |
| | | PI (n = 426) | | | | | |

Table 2. "Onset of action", therapeutic gain (TG) > 5% for pain freedom (PF) up to 2 hours of lasmiditan (a 5-HT1F receptor agonist) and three gepants (CGRP receptor antagonists: Telcagepant, rimegepant and ubrogepant). Only statistically significant therapeutic gains (effect after active drug minus effect after placebo (PI)) are presented with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. The first therapeutic gains with onset of action are presented in bold.

| La | asmiditan. | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Drug and dose | Number of patients | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, | TG for PF, |
| | (Ref.) | | 10–20 min | 30 min | 60 min | 90 min | 2 h |
| | Lasmiditan (LA)100 mg (35) | LA (n = 1035) | | | 3% (0.8–6%) | 9% (6–12%) | 12% (8–15%) |
| | | Pl (n = 1063) | | | | | |
| | Lasmiditan (LA) 200 mg (35) | LA (n = 1046) | | | 9% (6–11%) | 15% (11–18%) | 17% (13–21%) |
| | | PI (n = 1063) | | | | | |
| С | Pral gepants | | | | | | |
| | Telcagepant (T) 280/300 mg (37,38) | T (n = 669) | | | | 8% (4–11%) | 17% (12–23%) |
| | | Pl (n = 348) | | | | | |
| | Rimegepant (RI) 75 mg (44) | RI (n = 537) | | | | | 8% (3–I 2 %) |
| | | Pl (n = 535) | | | | | |
| | Rimegepant (RI) 75 mg ODT (36) | RI (n = 669) | | | | 8% (4–11%) | 10% (7–15%) |
| | | Pl (n = 683) | | | | | |
| | Ubrogepant (UB) | UB (n = 435) | | | | | 6% (1–12%) |
| | 25 mg (45) | PI (n = 456) | | | | | |
| | Ubrogepant (UB) | UB (n = 464) | | | | | 7% (2–12%) |
| | 50 mg (45) | PI (n = 456) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Note: See Table I for the choice of therapeutic gain for 5% pain freedom for defining the "onset of action".

the therapeutic gain was 10% after 60 min (9). In seven oral time-effect-curves the therapeutic gain was $\geq 5\%$ after 60 min: almotriptan 12.5 mg, (therapeutic gain-=5%) (20), rizatriptan 10 mg (therapeutic gain =9%) (6), eletriptan 40 mg (therapeutic gain = 6%) (32,33), sumatriptan 100 mg (therapeutic gain = 6%) (9), zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (therapeutic gain = 5%) (34), zolmitriptan 5 mg (therapeutic gain = 7%) (34), and lasmiditan 200 mg (9%) (35). In three oral time-effect curves, the therapeutic gain was $\geq 5\%$ after 90 min: Lasmiditan 100 mg (therapeutic gain = 8%) (35), rimegepant (orally dissolving tablets) 75 mg (therapeutic gain = 8%) (36), telcagepant 280/300 mg (therapeutic gain = 10%) (37,38), naratriptan 2.5 mg (therapeutic gain = 6%) (25,39). In seven time-effect curves, the therapeutic gain was >5% after 2 h: Nasal powder inhaled sumatriptan 22 mg (therapeutic gain = 17%) (40), sumatriptan 50 mg (therapeutic gain = 16%) (9), frovatriptan 2.5 mg (therapeutic gain = 7% (41–43), rimegepant 75 mg (therapeutic gain = 8%) (44), ubrogepant 25 mg (therapeutic gain = 6%) (45), and ubrogepant 50 mg (therapeutic gain = 7%) (45).

Doubling the dose of oral sumatriptan and oral lasmiditan increased the therapeutic gain for pain freedom after 2 h (see Tables 1 and 2). For both drugs, the higher dose had an earlier "onset of effect" than the lower dose. "Onset of effect" was 60 min for sumatriptan 100 mg and 120 min for sumatriptan 50 mg; for lasmiditan 200 mg and 100 mg, "onset of effect" was 60 min and 90 min, respectively (Table 2).

Discussion

New drugs like triptans (4,5,8–10), gepants (rimegepant and ubrogepant) (36,44,45), and ditans (lasmiditan) (35) have been developed in the last 30 years for the pharmacotherapy of acute migraine attacks. These new drugs have primarily been evaluated for efficacy at 2 hours, a time-point near the T_{max} for several of these drugs (5,35,36). The primary efficacy measure 2 hours after oral administration of the drugs has been either headache relief (a decrease from moderate or severe headache to none or mild) or pain freedom at 2 hours, as recommended by the International Headache Society (IHS) (1–3,8).

Patients primarily want complete relief of all migraine symptoms as early as 30 min, without adverse events, and no recurrence (14,15). The early aspects of treatment of migraine attacks have only been evaluated in few randomised, controlled trials; for example, two RCTs (17,18), in one pharmacokinetic study (19), and three systematic reviews (4,20,21). The speed of onset of the drug effect on migraine attacks has been investigated by evaluating early statistically significant differences of pain freedom (or headache relief) of active drug versus placebo. A statistically significant *p*-value is, however, not per se a useful outcome for evaluating the clinical relevance of an early effect in an RCT. Intranasal zolmitriptan 5 mg resulted in a significant pain free difference after 15 minutes (p < 0.05), but the therapeutic gain was only 1% (17), a clinically irrelevant difference.

This statically significant (but clinically irrelevant) result in a very large RCT (n = 1868) demonstrates, in our view, the need for defining one relevant painfree response that can be used to evaluate "onset of action" of drugs in acute RCTs for migraine. After evaluating the 22 RCTs presented in Table 1 and Table 2, we suggest that the time-point at which the therapeutic gain (active drug minus placebo) for pain freedom is >5%, and statistically significant, should be the time-point for "onset of action". The choice of 5% is arbitrary. We used the therapeutic gain for pain freedom at 2 hours for two standard clinical doses, oral sumatriptan 50 mg (therapeutic gain = 16%) and sumatriptan 100 mg (therapeutic gain = 21%), as the basis for suggesting a clinically relevant outcome. The five percentage therapeutic gain for pain freedom was chosen. This number reflects approximately 1/3 of 16% (therapeutic gain for 50 mg sumatriptan) and 1/4 of 21% (therapeutic gain for 100 mg sumatriptan) (9).

The "onset of action" based on \geq 5% therapeutic gain (TG) for pain free in 22 RCTs with oral, intranasal and subcutaneous administration of triptans, gepants and an oral ditan, are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Only for subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg (T_{max} = 10 min) was the TG, 8% (95% CI: 5–10%) at 20 min, greater than 5% before 30 min. Intranasal zol-mitriptan 5 mg (intranasal absorption accounts for 70% of the total exposure to zolmitriptan in the first hour post-dose (17)) resulted in "onset of action" at 30 min, see Table 1.

When evaluating the results for oral drugs, it is noteworthy that early results are often not available, in most cases probably because the RCTs were not designed to evaluate the early time-effect curves up to 2 hours. The magnitude of the therapeutic gain for pain freedom at the first time-point $\geq 5\%$ is in most cases only marginally higher than 5%, indicating that an unknown statistical effect at the prior time-points, if present, is most likely less than 5%.

In the oral RCTs presented in Table 1 and Table 2, "onset of action" was observed after 60 min in seven RCTs (5%, 9%, 6%, 6%, 5%, 7%, 9%), after 90 min in four RCTs (6%, 9%, 8%, 8%), and after 120 min in five RCTs (16%, 7%, 8%, 6%, 7%). As would be expected because of our choice of 5% TG for "onset of action", the TGs for onset for oral drugs are, with the exception of TG = 16% for oral sumatriptan 50 mg (9), just above the 5% (6–9%).

The time to "onset of action" of these oral drugs depends most likely not only on the speed of absorption of these drugs but also on the maximum effect, which is usually determined as TG for pain free at 2 hours, before any rescue medication is allowed. The therapeutic gain for pain freedom after 2 hours increased with doubling the dose: From 16% (sumatriptan 50 mg) to 21% (sumatriptan 100 mg), see Table 1, and from 12% (lasmiditan 100 mg) to 17% (lasmiditan 200 mg), see Table 2; "onset of action" of the higher doses of these two drugs are in both cases earlier than the lower ones, see Table 1. This is most likely due to a simple effect of Emax at 2 hours on timeto-onset: An increase in Emax will result in a steeper early time-effect curve, allowing early relevant separation from the placebo curve. This is illustrated for lasmiditan 100 mg and 200 mg in Figure 1. This interpretation of the possible relationship between E_{max} and the time-point of "onset of action" is supported by the results of oral zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5 mg: at 2 hours, the TGs for pain freedom were 21% (2.5 mg) and 20% (5 mg) and for both doses the "onset of action" occurred after 60 min (Table 1).



Figure 1. Therapeutic gain (pain freedom for lasmiditan minus pain freedom of placebo) for up to 2 hours for oral lasmiditan 100 mg and 200 mg (35). Note that therapeutic gain for lasmiditan 200 mg is above 5% after 60 min, whereas this is first the case therapeutic gain for 100 mg after 90 min.

When evaluating time-effect curves, for example, for two drugs for migraine attack treatment one should, in addition to using a fixed, clinically relevant criterion for difference between drug and placebo, also evaluate whether there is a difference of E_{max} of the two drugs, which could influence the result.

Conclusion

Patients want early relief from the symptoms of migraine attacks. With the current available treatment options, it is unrealistic to fulfil the optimum wish of patients, which is complete relief of all migraine symptoms within 30 minutes (14,15). Even with the most quickly acting drug, subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg, the effect on pain freedom after 30 min is observed in only a minority of patients, 17% (TG = 15%), see Table 1. In these circumstances, we suggest that a therapeutic gain of 5% pain freedom for an oral drug could be a suitable difference from placebo when judging when a clinically relevant response for onset of action has been observed in a randomised, clinical trial.

Using this definition for onset of action, the currently reviewed treatments can be classified in three groups concerning time to "onset of action"; for details, see Table 1 and Table 2:

- (I) Early time to onset (\leq 30 min): Subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg, and intranasal zolmitriptan 5 mg (two RCTs).
- (II) Medium time to onset (60 min): Intranasal zolmitriptan 5 mg (one RCT), oral almotriptan 12.5 mg, oral eletriptan 40 mg, oral sumatriptan 100 mg, oral zolmitriptan 2.5 and 5 mg, oral lasmiditan 200 mg, and intranasal sumatriptan 20 mg.
- (III) Delayed time to onset (90–120 min): oral sumatriptan 50 mg, oral naratriptan 2.5 mg, oral frovatriptan 2.5 mg, oral rizatriptan 5 mg, intranasal Optinose (sumatriptan) 22 mg, oral lasmiditan 100 mg, rimegepant 75 mg, oral ODT rimegepant 75 mg, oral telcagepant 280/300 mg, and oral ubrogepant 25 and 50 mg.

Clinical implications

- Onset of antimigraine effect should not be judged solely on a statistically significant effect.
- Onset of action should be estimated as relevant difference between active drug and placebo.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Tfelt-Hansen P, Block G, Dahlof C, et al. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: Second edition. *Cephalalgia* 2000; 20: 765–786.
- Tfelt-Hansen P, Pascual J and Ramadan N. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: Third edition. A guide for investigators. *Cephalalgia* 2012; 32: 6–38.
- 3. Diener HC, Tassorelli C, Dodick DW, et al. Guidelines of the International Headache Society for controlled trials of acute treatment of migraine attacks in adults: Fourth edition. *Cephalalgia* 2019: 39: 687–710.
- Tfelt-Hansen P. Efficacy and adverse events of subcutaneous, oral, and intranasal sumatriptan used for migraine treatment: A systematic review based on numbers needed to treat. *Cephalalgia* 1998; 18: 532–538.

- Tfelt-Hansen P, De Vries P and Saxena PR. Triptans in migraine: A comparative review of pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and efficacy. *Drugs* 2000; 60: 1259–1287.
- Ferrari MD, Loder E, McCarroll KA, et al. Meta-analysis of rizatriptan efficacy in randomized, controlled trials. *Cephalalgia* 2001; 21: 129–136.
- McCormack PL and Keating GM. Eletriptan: A review of its use in the acute treatment of migraine. *Drugs* 2006; 66: 1129–1140.
- Ferrari MD, Goadsby PJ, Roon KI, et al. Triptans (serotonin, 5-HT_{1B/1D} agonists) in migraine: Detailed results and methods of a meta-analysis of 53 trials. *Cephalalgia* 2002; 22: 633–658.
- Derry CD, Derry S and Moore RA. Sumatriptan (all routes of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults – overview of Cochrane reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; (5): CD00910816.
- Bird S, Derry S and Moore RA. Zolmitriptan for acute migraine attacks in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; (5): CD008616.
- Lipton RB, Hamelsky SW and Dayno JM. What do patients with migraine want from acute migraine treatment? *Headache* 2002; 42: 3–9.
- 12. Lanteri-Minet M. What do patients want from their acute migraine therapy? *Eur Neurol* 2005; 53: 3–9.
- 13. Gallagher R. What do patients want from acute migraine treatment? *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24: 8–15.

- Smelt AFH, Louter MA, Kies DA, et al. What do patients consider to be the most important outcomes for effectiveness studies on migraine treatment? Results of a Delphi study. *PLoS ONE* 2014; 9: e98933.
- 15. Hamelsky SW, Lipton RB and Stewart WF. An assessment of the burden of migraine using the willingness to pay model. *Cephalalgia* 2005; 25: 87–100.
- Goadsby PJ and Yates R. Zolmitriptan intranasal: A review of the pharmacokinetics and clinical efficacy. *Headache* 2006; 46: 138–149.
- Dodick D, Brandes J, Elkind A, et al. Speed of onset, efficacy and tolerability of zolmitriptan nasal spray in the acute treatment of migraine. A randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study. *CNS Drugs* 2005; 19: 125–136.
- Charlesworth BR, Dowson AJ, Purdy A, et al. Speed of onset and efficacy of zolmitriptan nasal spray in the acute treatment of migraine. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study versus zolmitriptan tablet. *CNS Drugs* 2003; 17: 653–667.
- Ferrari A, Pinetti D, Bertolini A, et al. Interindividual variability of oral sumatriptan pharmacokinetics and of clinical response in migraine patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2008; 64: 489–495.
- Dahlöf CG, Pascual J2, Dodick DW, et al. Efficacy, speed of action and tolerability of almotriptan in the acute treatment of migraine: Pooled individual patient data from four randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trials. *Cephalalgia* 2006; 26: 400–408.
- Tepper SJ, Chen S, Reidenbach F, et al. Intranasal zolmitriptan for the treatment of of acute migraine. *Headache* 2013; 53: 62–71.
- 22. Allen C, Jiang K, Malbecq W, et al. Time-to-event analysis, or who gets better sooner? An emerging concept in headache study methodology. *Cephalalgia* 1999; 19: 552–556.
- Tfelt-Hansen P. A comment on time-to-event analysis for headache relief. *Cephalalgia* 2000; 20: 255–256.
- Tfelt-Hansen P, Teal J, Rodriguez F, et al.; on behalf of the Rizatriptan 030 Study Group. Oral rizatriptan versus oral sumatriptan: A direct comparative study in the acute treatment of migraine. *Headache* 1998; 38: 748–755.
- Bomhof M, Paz J, Legg N, et al.; the Rizatriptan-Naratriptan Study Group. Comparison of rizatriptan 10 mg vs. naratriptan 2.5 mg in migraine. *Eur Neurol* 1999; 42: 173–179.
- Ashcroft DM and Millson D. Naratriptan for the treatment of acute migraine: Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Safety* 2004; 13: 73–82.
- 27. Sanford M. Frovatriptan. A review of its use in the acute treatment of migraine. *CNS Drugs* 2012; 26: 791–811.
- GSK. gsk-study register.com/en/ (accessed 2 February 2020, 7 July 2020).
- VassarStats. vassarstats.net/prop2_ind.html. (accessed 7 July 2020).
- Cady RK, Wendt JK, Kirchner JR, et al. Treatment of acute migraine with subcutaneous sumatriptan. JAMA 1991; 265: 2831–2835.

- 31. Gawel M, Aschoff J, May A, et al; on behalf of the REALIZE Study Team. Zolmitriptan 5 mg nasal spray: Efficacy and onset of action in the acute treatment of migraine – results from phase I of the REALIZE study. *Headache* 2005; 45: 7–16.
- 32. Sheftell FD, Ryan R, Pitman V; on behalf of the Eletriptan Steering Committee. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of oral eletriptan for treatment of acute migraine: A multicenter, double-blind, placebocontrolled study conducted in the United States. *Headache* 2003; 43: 202–213.
- Stark R, Dahlöf C, Haughie S, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of oral eletriptan in the acute treatment of migraine: Results of a phase III, multicenter, placebocontrolled study over three attacks. *Cephalalgia* 2002: 22: 23–32.
- Ryan RE, Diamond S, Giammarco RAM, et al. Efficacy of zolmitriptan at early time-points for the acute treatment of migraine and treatment recurrence. A randomised, placebo-controlled trial. CNS Drugs 2000; 13: 215–226.
- 35. Ashina M, Vasudeva R, Jin L, et al. Onset of efficacy following oral treatment with lasmiditan for the acute treatment of migraine: Integrated results from 2 randomized double-blind placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical studies. *Headache* 2019; 59: 1788–1801.
- Croop R, Goadsby PJ, Stock DA, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of rimegepant orally disintegrating tablet for the acute treatment of migraine: A randomised, phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 737–745.
- Connor KM, Shapiro RE, Diener HC, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of telcagepant for the acute treatment of migraine. *Neurology* 2009; 73: 970–977.
- 38. Ho TW, Ferrari MD, Dodick DW, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of MK-0974 (telcagepant), a new oral antagonist of calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor, compared with zolmitriptan for acute migraine: A randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-treatment trial. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 2115–2123.
- 39. Klassen A, Elkind A, Asgharnajad M, et al.; on behalf of the Naratriptan S2WA3001 Study Group. Naratriptan is effective and well-tolerated in the acute treatment of migraine. Results of a double-blind, parallel-group study. *Headache* 1997; 37: 640–647.
- 40. Cady RK, McAllister PJ, Spierings ELH, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of breath powered nasal delivery of sumatriptan powder (AVP-825) in the treatment of acute migraine (the TARGET study). Breath powered and bi-directional are trademarks of OptiNose US. *Headache* 2015; 55: 88–100.
- 41. Rapoport A, Ryan R, Goldstein J, et al. Dose rangefinding studies with frovatriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. *Headache* 2002; 42: S74–S83.
- Ryan R, Geraud G, Goldstein J, et al. Clinical efficacy of frovatriptan: Placebo-controlled studies. *Headache* 2002; 42: S84–S92.

- 43. Tfelt-Hansen P. Frovatriptan and data publication. *Headache* 2008; 48: 1382–1383.
- 44. Lipton RB, Croop R, Stock EG, et al. Rimegepant, an oral calcitonin gene–related peptide receptor antagonist, for migraine. *N Eng J Med* 2019; 381: 142–149.
- 45. Lipton RB, Dodick DW, Ailani J, et al. Effect of ubrogepant vs placebo on pain and the most bothersome associated symptom in the acute treatment of migraine: The ACHIEVE II randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019; 322: 1887–1898.

