

Pain freedom after 2 hours should be the primary outcome in controlled trials treating migraine attacks

Cephalalgia
2020, Vol. 40(12) 1331–1335
© International Headache Society 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0333102420941827
journals.sagepub.com/home/cep



Peer Tfelt-Hansen^{1,2} and Hans-Christoph Diener²

Abstract

Background: Pain freedom after 2 hours is the recommended primary endpoint by the International Headache Society in randomized trials investigating drug treatment of acute migraine attacks. In order to demonstrate an early effect of a drug, some drug companies, however, have promoted headache relief (improvement from severe or moderate pain to mild or no pain) at earlier time points than 2 hours as outcome parameter.

Methods and results: We analyzed the relationship between pain freedom and headache relief in acute migraine trials and observed that persistent mild headache constituted 90% of headache relief after 0.5 hour and 40% of headache relief after 2 hours.

Conclusion: Headache relief at 2 hours should in our view only be used as an outcome measure for comparison with historic data. Prior to 2 hours, headache relief varies with time from intake and the therapeutic gain is very small. Therefore, pain freedom should be used at these early time points.

Keywords

Migraine attack, treatment, pain freedom, headache relief, controlled trials

Date received: 6 April 2020; accepted: 23 June 2020

Introduction

The International Headache Society (IHS) recommends pain freedom after 2 hours as the primary efficacy parameter in randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) investigating drug treatment of acute migraine attacks (1). In two studies, migraine patients expressed complete relief of headache within 30 min after drug treatment as their highest priority (2,3). Thirty to 20 years ago when the triptans, 5-HT_{1B/1D} receptor agonists, were developed, headache relief (improvement from severe or moderate pain to mild or no pain) at 2 hours was used as the primary efficacy parameter (4). In the current guidelines for acute clinical trials in migraine of the IHS, headache relief is recommended as a secondary efficacy parameter, mainly for comparison with previous results in RCTs with acute migraine drugs (1).

In some recent, large RCTs of migraine attacks, treatment headache relief (5,6) has been used to claim early onset of effect. It was thus reported that for lasmiditan 200 mg, headache relief was significantly

higher starting as early as 30 minutes (lasmiditan 19% vs. placebo 13%, p < 0.001) (5), but the therapeutic gain (active drug minus placebo) for pain freedom was 2.4–1.4% = +1% (95% CI: -0.2 to +2%) (5).

The no headache of headache relief is the same as pain freedom, and the very low pain freedom in the above example with lasmiditan 200 mg vs. placebo suggests that headache relief at 0.5 hour mainly consists of mild headache, whereas headache relief at 2 hours often consists of approximately 50% no headache and 50% mild headache; for example, headache relief

¹Danish Headache Center, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Glostrup, Denmark

²Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty of the University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

Corresponding author:

Peer Tfelt-Hansen, Danish Headache Center, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup Hospital, DK-2650 Glostrup, Denmark. Email: d036218@dadlnet.dk

1332 Cephalalgia 40(12)

for sumatriptan 100 mg in the large Cochrane review on sumatriptan is 61% at 2 hours (32% pain freedom and 29% mild headache) (7).

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate whether headache relief, apart from its use at 2 hours as a historical control, is a clinically useful and consistent efficacy measure, also when used before the 2-hour time-point.

Methods

We screened by hand searching the headache literature for papers on randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) of oral drug treatment of migraine attacks presenting both results for headache relief and pain freedom, at 0.5 h, 1.0 h, 1.5 h, and 2.0 h. The percentage results with active drugs were used in the calculations for each active treatment. Percentage of headache relief of a drug minus percentage of pain freedom for the drug resulted in "% of persistent mild headache" and this value was divided by percentage of headache relief for each time point. Similar calculations were performed when placebo results were available.

We also included three RCTs with known similar early effect on headache relief and with three different drugs and administration forms (8–10).

In addition, the therapeutic gain for headache relief was calculated for headache relief at 30 min and earlier time points, if early results were available.

Results

The screening was terminated after 19 consecutively retrieved papers of RCTs with sequential results from 0.5 h to 2 h. We retrieved one RCT with aspirin 1000 mg (11), ibuprofen 400 mg (11), sumatriptan 100 mg (13), lasmiditan 50 mg (5), zolmitriptan 2.5 mg (16), naratriptan 2.5 mg (17), almotriptan 12.5 mg (19), and ergotamine 2 mg (18); and two RCTs with lasmiditan 100 mg (5), lasmiditan 200 mg (5), and sumatriptan 50 mg (11,12). Finally, we retrieved seven RCTs with rizatriptan 10 mg, (12-18]. All trials showed the same development of the headache relief versus pain freedom ratio over time. The pattern for percent of persistent mild headache/percent of headache relief, last row in Table 1, was in all cases: % 0.5 h > % $1.0 \,\mathrm{h} > \% \,1.5 \,\mathrm{h} > \% \,2.0 \,\mathrm{h}$. For percentage of persistent mild headache/percentage of headache relief, the median was 90% (range, 78–96%) after 0.5 h, 72% (range, 63–88%) after 1.0 h, 63% (range, 49–72%) after 1.5 h, and 43% (range, 34-55%) after 2 h. For placebo, similar calculations of persistent mild headache could be performed in eight time-effect curves. The pattern for percentage of persistent mild headache/percentage of headache relief in time was generally: % 0.5 h > % 1.0 h > % 1.5 h > % 2.0 h, except in two cases in which the percentages at 0.5 h were lower than those at 1 h. For percentage of persistent mild headache/percentage of headache relief, the median was 90% (range, 75–100%) after 0.5 h, 85% (range, 72–94%) after 1.0 h, 77% (range, 71–85%) after 1.5 h, and 66% (range, 59–80%) after 2 h.

In 16 RCTs with oral drugs, the TG for headache relief was statistically significant for five drugs at 30 min (+6 to +9%) (5,11,12,19), but the TG for pain freedom at 30 min was not statistically significant in any of the 16 RCTs.

Similar decreases of the ratio for percentage of persistent mild headache/percentage of headache relief from 0.5 h to 2 h were observed for zolmitriptan nasal spray 5 mg (8), orally inhaled dihydroergotamine 1 mg (9), and subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg (10), as shown in Table 1.

Discussion

Pain freedom at 2 hours after intake of an oral drug has been recommended as the primary efficacy parameter in RCTs in all guidelines on clinical trial design for migraine trials by the International Headache Society (IHS) from 1991, 2000, 2012, and 2019 (1). In contrast, some pharmaceutical companies who developed triptans deviated from this recommendation and used headache relief as the primary outcome parameter.

For example, Glaxo introduced headache relief at 2 hours as the primary efficacy when sumatriptan was developed. Headache relief at 2 hours was also used in the development of several other triptans, see meta-analysis by Ferrari et al. (4). In the most recent IHS Guidelines on Drug Trials, headache relief at 2 hours is recommended only as a secondary effect measure, and it is stated: "Headache relief should be used as an outcome measure, but only as a secondary endpoint and mainly to facilitate comparison of the results of new clinical trials with those of previous programmes" (1).

General use of headache relief at other time points than 2 hours is thus not recommended by the IHS (1). Analysis of headache relief at early time-points has been used in "demonstrating" very early effects, see for example headache relief at 15 min after nasal zolmitriptan, therapeutic gain (TG) = +9%, at 30 min after orally inhaled DHE, TG = +7% (Table 1). The TGs for pain freedom are considerably lower: +1% (95% CI; +0.4 - +2%) for nasal zolmitriptan (8) and +4% (95% CI: +1 - +7%) for orally inhaled DHE (9). These therapeutic gains for early pain freedom are in our view not clinically relevant. An effect on headache relief at 30 min after oral lasmiditan 100 mg and 200 mg (5), and at 60 min after oral rimegepant 75 mg (6), has

Tfelt-Hansen and Diener

Table 1. Distribution of headache responses at 2 h, headache relief (HR) percentage and pain freedom (PF) percentage, and "persistent mild headache" (HR% – PF%) divided by HR% after 0.5 h, 1.0 h, 1.5 h and 2 h, after four oral drugs (in bold), and three other drugs administered intranasally, as oral inhalation and subcutaneously. Results for placebo are presented in parentheses and in italics.

D. Line 6. Line 4.		Pain	HR% – PF%/HR% (Mild persistent headache/
Drug and time after administration	Headache relief	freedom	headache relief)
Oral ibuprofen 400 mg (n = 211), placebo (n = 222) (7)			
0.5 h	9%, TG = +4%	1%	8/9, 89 %
	(95% CI: -4% to +9%)	(0.5%)	(4.5/5.0, 90%)
1.0 h	(5%)	100/	21/21 40%
	31% (11%)	10% (3%)	21/31, 68 % (8/11, 72%)
1.5 h	50%	19%	31/50, 63 %
1.511	(23%)	(6%)	(17/23, 75%)
2.0 h	60%	33%	27/, 45 %
2.011	(31%)	(13%)	(18/31, 59%)
Oral lasmiditan 200 mg (n = 1046),	(5:75)	(1.579)	(10.01, 07.70)
placebo (n = 1063 to 1129) (1)			
0.5 h	20%, TG = +7%	2%	18/21, 88%
	(95% CI: +4% to +10%)	(1%)	(12/13, 90%)
	(13%)	()	, , ,
I.0 h	49%	16%	33/49, 68 %
	(31%)	(7%)	(24/31, 77%)
1.5 h	60%	27%	33/60, 55%
	(41%)	(12%)	(29/41, 71%)
2.0 h	67%	36%	31/67, 47 %
	(45%)	(18%)	(27/45, 59%)
Oral rizatriptan 10 mg (n = 320), placebo (n = 82) (11)			
0.5 h	25%, TG = $+7\%$	2%	24/25, 93 %
	(95% Cl: -4% to +16%) (18%)	(0%)	(18/18, 100%)
1.0 h	55%	13%	43/55, 77 %
	(31%)	(2%)	(28/31, 92%)
1.5 h	68%	30%	39/68, 57 %
	(32%)	(5%)	(27/32, 85%)
2.0 h	77%	44%	32/77, 42 %
	(37%)	(7%)	(29/37, 80%)
Oral sumatriptan 100 mg (n = 387), placebo (n = 159) (9)			
0.5 h	$II\%,\ (TG = -I\%)$	1%	10/11, 91 %
1.0 h	(12%)	(2%)	(10/12, 83%)
	28%	8%	20/28, 71 %
	(20%)	(3%)	(17/20, 85%)
1.5 h	47%	18%	29/47, 62 %
	(36%)	(8%)	(28/36, 78%)
2.0 h	62%	33%	29/62, 47 %
	(40%)	(9%)	(31/40, 78%)
Zolmitriptan nasal spray 5 mg			
(n = 935), placebo (n = 933) (4)	18% TC - + 9%	%	17/10 020/
15 min	18%, TG = +9% (95% Cl: +6% to +12%)	% (0.4%)	17/18, 92 % (9/10, 96%)
	(10%)	(0.7/0)	(7/10, 70/0)

(continued)

Cephalalgia 40(12)

Table 1. Continued.

Drug and time after administration	Headache relief	Pain freedom	HR% – PF%/HR% (Mild persistent headache/ headache relief)
30 min	39%, TG = +19%	8%	31/39, 79 %
	(95% CI: +15% to +23%) (20%)	(3%)	(17/20, 87%)
1.0 h	57% ´	21%	36/57, 63 %
	(31%)	(8%)	(23/31, 74%)
2.0 h	66%	36%	30/66, 46 %
	(35%)	(14%)	(21/35, 61%)
Orally inhaled DHE ^a I mg	(==)	()	(=::::,
(n = 395), placebo			
(n = 375), placebo (n = 391) (5)			
10 min	9%	1%	7/9, 87 %
	(6%)	(1%)	(5/6, 81%)
0.5 h	29%, TG = +7%	5%	24/29, 80 %
	(95% Cl: +1% to +13%)	(1%)	(20/22, 94%)
1.01	(22%)	1 50/	22/40 /09/
1.0 h	48%	15%	33/48, 68 %
2.01	(28%)	(5%)	(24/28, 84%)
2.0 h	59%	28%	30/59, 52 %
	(35%)	(10%)	(24/35, 71%)
4.0 h	65%	39%	25/65, 39 %
	(37%)	(17%)	(20/37, 55%)
Subcutaneous			
sumatriptan 6 mg (n $=$ 734), placebo			
$(n = 370) (6)^b$			
10 min	16%, $TG = +12%$	2%	14/16, 88 %
	(95% CI: +8% to +15%)	(0%)	(4/4, 100%)
	(4%)		
20 min	34%, $TG = +26\%$	9%	25/34, 74 %
	(95% CI: $+21\%$ to $+30\%$)	(1%)	(7/8, 88%)
	(8%)	,	,
30 min	49%, TG = +36%	17%	32/49, 65 %
	(95% CI: +31% to +41%)	(2%)	(11/13, 85%)
	(13%)	(-/-)	(******)
40 min	62%	26%	36/62, 58 %
	(20%)	(4%)	(16/20, 80%)
50 min	68%	37%	41/68, 60 %
	(23%)	(5%)	(18/23, 78%)
60 min	70%	49%	21/70, 30 %
	(22%)	(9%)	(13/22, 50%)

been used to claim early onset of effect despite the fact that pain freedom was not significant at this time point.

The current analysis of the distribution at the 4 time-points (0.5 h, 1.0 h, 1.5 h, and 2 h) of pain freedom vs. headache relief calculated as "persistent mild headache"/headache relief shows a value of 90% at 0.5 hour (thus 90% of the headache relief is mild headache and 10% is pain freedom), and a value of 40% at 2.0 h (thus 40% of the headache relief is mild headache and 60% is pain freedom). There is a similar tendency in the placebo groups: "persistent mild headache"/headache

relief shows a value of 90% at 0.5 hour and 66% at 2 hours.

We doubt, whether a small therapeutic gain for headache relief at 0.5 hour is clinically relevant. Among 16 RCTs analysed, the TG for headache relief was statistically significant for five drugs at $30 \min (+6 - +9\%)$ (5,11,12,19), but TG for pain freedom was not statistically significant in any of these 16 RCTs.

In our view it is therefore unlikely that there is a clinically relevant effect of oral triptans already after 30 min.

Tfelt-Hansen and Diener 1335

Conclusion

Headache relief at 2 hours should in our view only be used as an outcome measure for comparison with historic data. Prior to 2 hours headache, relief varies with

time from intake and the therapeutic gain is relatively small early after intake. Therefore, pain freedom should, if possible, be used at these early time points.

Clinical implications

- In acute migraine trials, headache relief at 2 hours can be used to compare results with historic data.
- At earlier time points, we suggest that only pain freedom, if possible, should be used.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Diener H-C, Tassorelli C, Dodick DW, et al.; on behalf of the International Headache Society Clinical Trials Standing Committee. Guidelines of the International Headache Society for controlled trials of acute treatment of migraine attacks in adults, fourth edition. *Cephalalgia* 2019: 39: 68–710.
- Smelt AFH, Louter MA, Kies DA, et al. What do patients consider to be the most important outcomes for effectiveness studies on migraine treatment? Results of a Delphi study. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e98933.
- Hamelsky SW, Lipton RB and Stewart WF. An assessment of the burden of migraine using the willingness to pay model. *Cephalalgia* 2005; 25: 87–100.
- Ferrari MD, Goadsby PJ, Roon KI, et al. Triptans (serotonin, 5-HT1B/1D agonists) in migraine: Detailed results and methods of a meta-analysis of 53% trials. Cephalalgia 2002; 22: 633–658.
- Ashina M, Vasudeva R, Leah Jin L, et al. Onset of efficacy following oral treatment with lasmiditan for the acute treatment of migraine: Integrated results from 2 randomized double-blind placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical studies. *Headache* 2019; 59: 1788–1801.
- Croop R, Goadsby PJ, Lipton RB, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of rimegepant orally disintegrating tablet for the acute treatment of migraine: A randomised, phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 737–745.
- Derry CJ, Derry S and Moore RA. Sumatriptan (oral route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Data Base Syst Rev 2012; 2: CD008615.
- 8. Dodick D, Brandes J, Elkind A, et al. Speed of onset, efficacy and tolerability of zolmitriptan nasal spray in the

- acute treatment of migraine. A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *CNS Drugs* 2005; 19: 125–136.
- Aurora SK, Silberstein SD, Kori SH, et al. MAP0004, orally inhaled DHE: A randomized, controlled study in the acute treatment of migraine. *Headache* 2011; 51: 507–517.
- Cady RK, Wendt JK, Kirchner JR, et al. Treatment of acute migraine with subcutaneous sumatriptan. *JAMA* 1991; 265: 2831–2835.
- 11. Diener HC, Bussone G, de Liano H, et al.; on behalf of the EMSASI Study Group. Placebo-controlled comparison of effervescent acetylsalicylic acid, sumatriptan and ibuprofen in the treatment of migraine attacks. *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24: 947–954.
- 12. Goldstein J, Ryan R, Jiang K, et al. Crossover comparison of rizatriptan 5 mg and 10 mg versus sumatriptan 25 mg and 50 mg in migraine. *Headache* 1998; 38: 37–747.
- 13. Tfelt-Hansen P, Teal J, Rodriguez F, et al.; on behalf of the Rizatriptan 030 Study Group. Oral rizatriptan versus oral sumatriptan: A direct comparative study in the acute treatment of migraine. *Headache* 1998; 38: 748–755.
- 14. Ahrens SF, Farmer MV, Williams DI, et al. Efficacy and safety of rizatriptan wafer for the acute treatment of migraine. *Cephalalgia* 1999; 19: 525–539.
- Kramer MS, Matzura-Wolfe D, Polis A, et al. A placebocontrolled crossover study of rizatriptan in the treatment of multiple migraine attacks. *Neurology* 1998; 51: 773-781.
- Pascual J, Vega P, Diener HC, et al. Comparison of rizatriptan 10 mg vs. zolmitriptan 2.5 mg in the acute treatment of migraine. Rizatriptan-Zolmitriptan Study Group. Cephalalgia 2000; 20: 455–461.
- 17. Bomhof M, Paz J, Legg N, et al. Comparison of rizatriptan 10 mg vs. naratriptan 2.5 mg in migraine. *Eur Neurol* 1999; 42: 173–179.
- 18. Christie S, Göbel H, Mateos V, et al. Crossover comparison of efficacy and preference for rizatriptan 10 mg versus ergotamine/caffeine in migraine. *Eur Neurol* 2003; 49: 20–29.
- Dahlöf C, Tfelt-Hansen P, Massiou H, et al. Dose finding, placebo-controlled study of oral almotriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. *Neurology* 2001; 57: 1811–1817.

DuEPublico



Offen im Denken



universitäts bibliothek

Duisburg-Essen Publications online

This text is made available via DuEPublico, the institutional repository of the University of Duisburg-Essen. This version may eventually differ from another version distributed by a commercial publisher.

DOI: 10.1177/0333102420941827

URN: urn:nbn:de:hbz:465-20230526-111149-2

This publication is with permission of the rights owner freely accessible due to an Alliance licence and a national licence (funded by the DFG, German Research Foundation) respectively.

© International Headache Society 2020. All rights reserved.